arXiv:math-ph/0510078v2 2 Dec 2006

On Baxterized Solutions of Reflection Equation and Integrable Chain Models

A.P. Isaev[a] and O.V. Ogievetsky[b]

[a] Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,
Dubna, Moscow region 141980, Russia
E-mail: isaevap@theor.jinr.ru

[b] Center of Theoretical Physics[1], Luminy, 13288 Marseille, France
and P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Theoretical Department, Leninsky pr. 53, 117924 Moscow, Russia
E-mail: oleg@cpt.univ-mrs.fr

Abstract. Non-polynomial baxterized solutions of reflection equations associated with affine Hecke and affine Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras are found. Relations to integrable spin chain models with nontrivial boundary conditions are discussed.

Introduction

A reflection equation was introduced by Cherednik in [1] as an additional factorization condition for a boundary S-matrix which describes the motion of relativistic particles on a half line. In the context of 2-dimensional integrable field theories with boundaries the reflection equations and their solutions were investigated in [2]. These equations and their solutions (boundary K-matrices) were also used in [3] for a formulation of integrable spin chains with nonperiodic boundary conditions and studied in many subsequent papers (see, e.g., [4], [5], [6] and references therein).

To investigate reflection equations and their solutions, it is convenient to use their universal formulation in terms of generators of a group algebra of a braid group and its quotients like Hecke H_M or Birman-Murakami-Wenzl

[1] Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR 6207) du CNRS et des Universités Aix–Marseille I, Aix–Marseille II et du Sud Toulon – Var; laboratoire affilié à la FRUMAM (FR 2291)

BMW_M algebras. In this approach, solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation are represented as elements of the above algebras (baxterized elements), while solutions of the reflection equations are expressed in terms of generators of affine extensions of H_M or BMW_M. Many papers are devoted to investigations of baxterized solutions of the reflection equation in the Hecke algebra case (see, e.g., [7], [6] and references therein). Conversely, not so much is known about baxterized solutions of the reflection equation in the Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebra case (see, however, [8]).

In this paper, new baxterized solutions of the reflection equation of the Hecke and Birman-Murakami-Wenzl types are found. These solutions are rational functions of affine generators which automatically satisfy a unitarity condition. For the cyclotomic quotients of the affine Hecke and BMW algebras, when affine generators satisfy a polynomial equation of a finite degree, these solutions can be reduced to polynomial ones. In particular, for the cyclotomic affine Hecke algebra, we reproduce solutions obtained recently in [7].

In the last Section we discuss applications of the reflection equation solutions to the formulation of the integrable chain systems with nontrivial boundary conditions.

1 Solutions of reflection equations for the Hecke algebra

A braid group $\mathcal{B}_{M+1}$ is generated by elements σ_i $(i = 1, \dots M)$ subject to relations:

$$\sigma_i \, \sigma_{i+1} \, \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \, \sigma_i \, \sigma_{i+1} \ , \quad \sigma_i \, \sigma_j = \sigma_j \, \sigma_i \ \text{ for } \ |i-j| > 1 \ . \tag{1.1}$$

An A-Type Hecke algebra $H_{M+1} \equiv H_{M+1}(q)$ (see, e.g., [10] and references therein) is a quotient of the group algebra of the braid group $\mathcal{B}_{M+1}$ by a Hecke relation

$$\sigma_i^2 - 1 = \lambda \, \sigma_i \ , \ \ (i = 1, \dots, M) \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where $\lambda := (q - q^{-1})$ and $q \in \mathbf{C} \backslash \{0\}$ is a parameter.

Let $x \in \mathbf{C}$ be a *spectral* parameter. The baxterized elements [9]

$$\sigma_n(x) := \sigma_n - x \sigma_n^{-1} \in H_{M+1} \ , \tag{1.3}$$

solve the Yang-Baxter equation:

$$\sigma_n(x) \, \sigma_{n-1}(xy) \, \sigma_n(y) = \sigma_{n-1}(y) \, \sigma_n(xy) \, \sigma_{n-1}(x) \ . \tag{1.4}$$

Let a be any solution of the equation $a - \frac{1}{a} = \lambda$; that is, a equals q or $(-q^{-1})$. Then, for $x \neq aq, -aq^{-1}$, the elements (1.3) can be represented in the form

$$\sigma_n(x) = \left(a \, x^{-1} - a^{-1} \right) \frac{\sigma_n + a \, x}{\sigma_n + a \, x^{-1}} \ . \tag{1.5}$$

The element (1.5) does not depend on the choice of $a = \pm q^{\pm 1}$. The normalized element

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_n(x;a) := \frac{1}{a\,x - a^{-1}}\,\sigma_n(x)\ , \tag{1.6}$$

satisfies the unitarity condition $\widetilde{\sigma}_n(x;a)\,\widetilde{\sigma}_n(x^{-1};a) = 1$.

An affine Hecke algebra $\hat{H}_{M+1}$ (see, e.g., [11], Chapter 12.3) is an extension of the Hecke algebra H_{M+1}. The algebra $\hat{H}_{M+1}$ is generated by elements σ_i $(i = 1, \ldots, M)$ of H_{M+1} and affine generators y_k $(k = 1, \ldots, M+1)$ which satisfy:

$$y_{k+1} = \sigma_k\,y_k\,\sigma_k\ , \quad y_k\,y_j = y_j\,y_k\ , \quad y_j\,\sigma_i = \sigma_i\,y_j\ \ (j \neq i, i+1)\ . \tag{1.7}$$

The elements $\{y_k\}$ generate a commutative subalgebra in $\hat{H}_{M+1}$ while symmetric functions of y_k form a center in $\hat{H}_{M+1}$.

The aim of this section is to find solutions $y_n(x)$ of the *reflection equation*:

$$\sigma_n\left(x\,z^{-1}\right)\,y_n(x)\,\sigma_n(x\,z)\,y_n(z) = y_n(z)\,\sigma_n(x\,z)\,y_n(x)\,\sigma_n\left(x\,z^{-1}\right)\ , \tag{1.8}$$

where $y_n(x)$ is an element of the affine Hecke algebra and is a function of the spectral parameter x. We call $y_n(x)$ a *local* solution of (1.8) if $[y_n(x),\,\sigma_i] = 0$ $(\forall\ i \neq n-1, n)$. An additional requirement on the solution $y_n(x)$ is the unitarity condition: $y_n(x)y_n(x^{-1}) = 1$.

Consider a subalgebra $\hat{H}_2^{(n)} \subset \hat{H}_{M+1}$ which is generated by two elements σ_n, y_n (in fact we consider an extension of $\hat{H}_2^{(n)}$ by formal series in y_n). Symmetric functions of two variables y_n and $y_{n+1} := \sigma_n y_n \sigma_n$ are central in the algebra $\hat{H}_2^{(n)}$.

We make a following Ansatz

$$y_n(x) = Z_1(x) + Z_2(x)\,y_n\ , \tag{1.9}$$

where $Z_i(x)$ are central elements in $\hat{H}_2^{(n)}$.

A direct calculation shows that eq.(1.8) is satisfied iff

$$\frac{Z_1(x)}{Z_2(x)} = \frac{\gamma - z^{(2)}\,x}{x - x^{-1}}\ , \tag{1.10}$$

where γ is a central element in $\hat{H}_2^{(n)}$ independent of the spectral parameter x and $z^{(2)} = y_n + y_{n+1}$.

Thus a general solution of the reflection equation (1.8) within the Ansatz (1.9) is:

$$y_n(x) = Z_2(x)\,\left(y_n + \frac{\gamma - z^{(2)}\,x}{x - x^{-1}}\right)\ , \tag{1.11}$$

where $Z_2(x)$ is an arbitrary central element in $\hat{H}_2^{(n)}$. In this form the solution is not quite appropriate since it is not obviously local (e.g., it explicitly

depends on y_{n+1}). However, one can achieve the locality (and unitarity) of the solution $y_n(x)$ by specifying the central element $Z_2(x)$ in (1.11). We now formulate the main result of this section.

Proposition 1. *In the case of the affine Hecke algebra, a local unitary solution of the reflection equation (1.8) is*

$$y_n(x) = \frac{y_n - \xi\, x}{y_n - \xi\, x^{-1}}\ , \tag{1.12}$$

where $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$ is an arbitrary parameter.

Proof. The unitarity property: $y_n(x)y_n(x^{-1}) = 1$ of the element (1.12) is obvious. One can rewrite (1.12) in the form

$$y_n(x) \equiv Z_2(x)\left(y_n + \frac{\gamma' - x\, z^{(2)}}{x - x^{-1}}\right) \tag{1.13}$$

where $\gamma' = \xi + (y_n\, y_{n+1})\xi^{-1}$ and

$$Z_2(x) := \frac{\xi(x - x^{-1})}{(y_n - \xi\, x^{-1})(y_{n+1} - \xi\, x^{-1})}\ ,$$

are central elements in $\hat{H}_2^{(n)}$. The function (1.13) has the form (1.11) which means that (1.12) is the solution of eq.(1.8). •

Remark 1. The solution (1.12) is *regular*: $y_n(\pm 1) = 1$ (see [3]). A general (within the Ansatz (1.9)) solution is obtained by a multiplication of (1.12) by an arbitrary scalar function $f(x)$ such that $f(x)f(x^{-1}) = 1$.

Remark 2. If the parameter ξ in (1.12) is not equal to 0, $\xi \neq 0$, it can be set to 1 by an automorphism $\sigma_k \to \sigma_k$, $y_n \to \xi\, y_n$ of the affine Hecke algebra $\hat{H}_{M+1}$. In the case $\xi = 0$, the solution (1.12) becomes trivial, $y_n(x) = 1$.

The element (1.12) stays a solution of (1.8) if we substitute instead of ξ any element of $\hat{H}_{M+1}$ central in $\hat{H}_2^{(n)}$.

Remark 3. In a cyclotomic affine Hecke algebra, the generator y_1 satisfies an additional characteristic equation

$$y_1^{m+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k y_1^k = 0\ , \tag{1.14}$$

where α_k are constants and m is a positive integer. The automorphism mentioned in Remark 2 is obviously broken. In this case the solution (1.12) is equivalent to a polynomial one. Indeed, the characteristic equation (1.14) can be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{y_1 - \xi\, x^{-1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_k(x)\, y_1^k\ , \tag{1.15}$$

where ($\alpha_{m+1} := 1$)

$$b_{m-k}(x) = b_m(x) \sum_{r=0}^{k} \alpha_{m-r+1} \, (\xi/x)^{k-r} \, , \;\; b_m(x) = - \left(\sum_{r=0}^{m+1} \alpha_r \, (\xi/x)^r \right)^{-1} \, .$$

A substitution of (1.15) in (1.12) for $n = 1$ gives a polynomial in y_1 solution

$$y_1(x) = (y_1 - \xi \, x) \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_k(x) \, y_1^k$$

$$= b_m(x) \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left(\frac{b_{k-1}(x)}{b_m(x)} - \xi \, x \, \frac{b_k(x)}{b_m(x)} - \alpha_k \right) y_1^k \, , \quad (b_{-1}(x) := 0) \, , \qquad (1.16)$$

of the reflection equation (1.8). The solution (1.16) was obtained in [7]. We stress that the polynomial solution (1.16), which easily follows from the general simple formula (1.12), is valid only in the cyclotomic case and for $n = 1$.

For $\xi \neq 0$ the solution (1.16) is called *principal* in [7]. Note that in (1.16) one can perform a special limit: $\xi \to 0$, $\alpha_0 \to 0$, $\alpha_0/\xi \to \zeta$, where ζ is a constant (in this case, due to eq.(1.14), the element y_1 is not invertible). As a result we obtain, in this particular case, following solutions of (1.8)

$$y_1(x) = 1 + \frac{x - x^{-1}}{\alpha_1 x^{-1} + \zeta} \, \tilde{y}_1 \qquad \left(\tilde{y}_1 := y_1^m + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_k y_1^{k-1} \, , \quad y_1 \tilde{y}_1 = 0 \right) \, ;$$

these solutions are called *small* in [7].

Below we explicitly consider few special examples for the polynomial solutions (1.16) in the cases $m = 1, 2, 3$ and $\xi \neq 0$.

Case $m = 1$. In this case the characteristic identity (1.14) for the affine element y_1 is of order 2: $y_1^2 + \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_0 = 0$ and the affine Hecke algebra is usually called the B-type Hecke algebra. We rewrite the characteristic identity in a form (to simplify notation we set $y := y_1$)

$$\frac{1}{y - \xi \, x^{-1}} = - \frac{(y + \alpha_1 + \xi \, x^{-1})}{(\xi^2 x^{-2} + \alpha_1 \xi x^{-1} + \alpha_0)} \, .$$

A substitution of this equation in (1.12) gives the simplest polynomial solution [6]:

$$y(x) = \frac{(x - x^{-1})}{(\xi \, x^{-2} + \alpha_1 x^{-1} + \alpha_0/\xi)} \left(y + \frac{x\alpha_1 + \xi + \alpha_0/\xi}{x - x^{-1}} \right) \, . \qquad (1.17)$$

Case $m = 2$. In this case the identity (1.14) is of order 3 and the formula (1.16) gives a solution of the reflection equation [7]

$$y(x) = \frac{\xi(x - x^{-1})}{(\frac{\xi}{x})^3 + \alpha_2 (\frac{\xi}{x})^2 + \alpha_1 \frac{\xi}{x} + \alpha_0} \left(y^2 + \left(\frac{\xi}{x} + \alpha_2 \right) y + \frac{\frac{\xi^2}{x} + \alpha_2 \xi + \alpha_1 x + \frac{\alpha_0}{\xi}}{x - x^{-1}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\xi(x-x^{-1})}{\sum_{r=0}^{3}\alpha_r(\frac{\xi}{x})^r}\left(\frac{\xi}{x}\,y + \frac{\frac{\xi^2+\alpha_1}{x}+\alpha_2\xi+\frac{\alpha_0}{\xi}}{x-x^{-1}} - \frac{\alpha_0}{y}\right), \quad (\alpha_3 := 1). \tag{1.18}$$

Case $m = 3$. The characteristic identity is of order 4 and the solution (1.16) takes the form

$$y(x) = \frac{\xi(x-x^{-1})}{\sum_{r=0}^{4}\alpha_r(\frac{\xi}{x})^r}\left(y^3 + \left(\frac{\xi}{x}+\alpha_3\right)y^2 + \left(\frac{\xi^2}{x^2}+\alpha_3\frac{\xi}{x}+\alpha_2\right)y\right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{x(\frac{\xi^3}{x^3}+\alpha_3\frac{\xi^2}{x^2}+\alpha_2\frac{\xi}{x}+\alpha_1)+\frac{\alpha_0}{\xi}}{x-x^{-1}}\right), \quad (\alpha_4 := 1)\ .$$

Remark 4. Let V be an N-dimensional vector space. In an R-matrix representation ρ_R: $\hat{H}_{M+1} \to \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes(M+1)})$ of the affine Hecke algebra $\hat{H}_{M+1}$,

$$\rho_R(\sigma_n) = \hat{R}_n\ , \quad \rho_R(y_n) = L_n\ , \tag{1.19}$$

one has $(n = 1, \ldots, M)$

$$\hat{R}_n\,\hat{R}_{n+1}\,\hat{R}_n = \hat{R}_{n+1}\,\hat{R}_n\,\hat{R}_{n+1}\ , \tag{1.20}$$

$$\hat{R}_1\,L_1\,\hat{R}_1\,L_1 = L_1\,\hat{R}_1\,L_1\,\hat{R}_1\ , \tag{1.21}$$

$$L_{n+1} = \hat{R}_n\,L_n\hat{R}_n, \tag{1.22}$$

$$\hat{R}_n := \hat{R}_{n\,n+1} = I^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \hat{R} \otimes I^{\otimes(M-n)} \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes(M+1)})\ , \tag{1.23}$$

where $\hat{R} \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ is the Hecke type R-matrix, $\hat{R}^2 = \lambda\hat{R} + I^{\otimes 2}$, and I is an identity matrix in $\mathrm{End}(V)$. The operator $L_1 = \rho_R(y_1)$ is taken in a form $L_1 = L \otimes I^{\otimes M}$ where L is a $N \times N$ matrix whose entries are generators of a unital associative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ which is called *reflection equation* algebra.

We recall that for the Hecke algebra one can define inductively a set of antisymmetrizers [9]:

$$A_{1\to 1} = 1\ , \quad A_{1\to k+1} = A_{1\to k}\,\widetilde{\sigma}_k(a^{2k};a)\,A_{1\to k}\ , \quad (k = 1, 2, \ldots)\ , \tag{1.24}$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}_k(x;a)$ is the unitary baxterized element (1.6) and $a = q$ (for $a = -q^{-1}$ eqs.(1.24) define the set of symmetrizers). The Hecke type R-matrix is called the R-matrix of height $(m+1)$ if $\rho_R(A_{1\to m+2}) = 0$ and the operator $\rho_R(A_{1\to m+1})$ has rank 1. We also require that the R-matrix is skew invertible, that is, $\exists\ F \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ such that $Tr_3(F_{13}R_{32}) = P_{12}$, where $P_{12} \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V)$ is a permutation matrix. For such R-matrices (e.g., the $U_q(gl(m+1))$ Drinfeld-Jimbo R-matrix in the vector representation is the Hecke type R-matrix of height $(m+1)$), it was shown in [13] (see also [12] and references therein) that the quantum matrix L of the reflection equation algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the characteristic identity of order $(m+1)$ whose coefficients are central in $\mathcal{A}$. It means that in the R-matrix representation (1.19) (with the skew invertible R-matrix of finite height) the affine Hecke algebra

is effectively the cyclotomic one (over the center) and hence the general solutions (1.12) of the reflection equation (1.8) are reduced to the polynomial solutions (1.16).

We note also that a Temperley-Lieb algebra is a quotient of the Hecke algebra by a relation $A_{1\to 3}=0$. Hence the R-matrix representation of the Temperley-Lieb algebra is associated to the Hecke type R-matrix of height 2. In this case (e.g. in the formulation of integrable XXZ spin chain models with nontrivial boundary conditions) it is enough to use the simplest polynomial solution (1.17).

Remark 5. Consider the R-matrix representation ρ_R of the affine Hecke algebra $\hat{H}_{M+1}$ (1.19), where $\hat{R}\in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes 2})$ is the standard Drinfeld-Jimbo R-matrix for $U_q(gl(N))$:

$$\hat{R}=\sum_i q\, e_{ii}\otimes e_{ii}+\sum_{i\neq j} e_{ij}\otimes e_{ji}+\lambda\sum_{j>i} e_{ii}\otimes e_{jj}\ , \tag{1.25}$$

$$\hat{R}^2=\lambda\hat{R}+I^{\otimes 2}\ ,\quad \lim_{q\to 1}\left(\hat{R}_{12}\right)=P_{12}\ .$$

Here e_{ij} are matrix units and P_{12} is the permutation matrix. The R-matrix (1.25) is skew invertible Hecke type R-matrix of height N.

Let L^+ (respectively, L^-) $\in \mathrm{Mat}(N)$ be upper (respectively, lower) triangular operator-valued invertible matrices which satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{R}_{12}\, L_2^+\, L_1^+ = L_2^+\, L_1^+\, \hat{R}_{12}\ ,\\
&\hat{R}_{12}\, L_2^-\, L_1^- = L_2^-\, L_1^-\, \hat{R}_{12}\ ,\\
&\hat{R}_{12}\, L_2^+\, L_1^- = L_2^-\, L_1^+\, \hat{R}_{12}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

According to the approach of [14], $L^{\pm}$ are matrices of Cartan type generators of $U_q(gl(N))$. It is known that the formula

$$\rho_R(y_1)=L_1:=(1/L^-)\, L^+\otimes I^{\otimes M} \tag{1.27}$$

defines a solution of the reflection equation (1.21).

For the operator (1.27), the solution (1.12) for $n=1$ takes the form

$$\rho_R(y_1(x))=\frac{1}{(L_1^+-\xi x^{-1}L_1^-)}(L_1^+-\xi x L_1^-)=:K_1(x)\ . \tag{1.28}$$

The operator $K(x)$ in the formula (1.28) is represented in the factorized form $L^{-1}(\xi/x)L(\xi x)$, where the operator-valued matrix

$$L(x)=(L^+-xL^-)\ , \tag{1.29}$$

defines the evaluation representation (see, e.g., [11]) of the affine algebra $U_q(\hat{gl}(N))$ and satisfies the intertwining relations (see, e.g., [17])

$$\hat{R}_{12}(x)\, L_2(xy)\, L_1(y)=L_2(y)\, L_1(xy)\, \hat{R}_{12}(x)\ . \tag{1.30}$$

Using (1.30) one can immediately check that (1.28) solves the reflection equation (1.8) for $n = 1$ written in the R-matrix form

$$\hat{R}_{12}(x/z)\, K_1(x)\, \hat{R}_{12}(x\, z)\, K_1(z) = K_1(z)\, \hat{R}_{12}(x\, z)\, K_1(x)\, \hat{R}_{12}(x/z)\ , \quad (1.31)$$

where

$$\hat{R}_{12}(x) := \hat{R}_{12} - x\hat{R}_{12}^{-1} = \rho_R(\sigma_1(x))\ ,$$

is the R-matrix image of the baxterized element (1.3).

2 Solutions of reflection equations for the BMW algebra

In this section, we search for solutions of the reflection equations (1.8) for the Birman-Murakami-Wenzl (BMW) algebra. The BMW algebra BMW_{M+1} is generated by elements σ_n (1.1) and elements κ_n ($n = 1, \ldots, M$) which satisfy following relations [15]:

$$\kappa_n\, \sigma_n = \sigma_n\, \kappa_n = \nu\, \kappa_n\ , \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sigma_n - \sigma_n^{-1} = \lambda\, (1 - \kappa_n)\ , \quad (2.2)$$

$$\kappa_n\, \sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}\, \kappa_n = \nu^{\mp 1}\, \kappa_n\ , \quad (2.3)$$

where $\lambda = q - q^{-1}$ and $\nu \in \mathbf{C}\backslash\{0, \pm q^{\pm 1}\}$ is an additional parameter of the algebra. The BMW algebra is a finite dimensional quotient of the group algebra of the braid group $\mathcal{B}_{M+1}$.

For the BMW algebra the baxterized elements (which solve the Yang-Baxter equation (1.4)) have the form [16], [17]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\nu + a x^{-1}}\, (a\, (x^{-1} - 1)\, \sigma_n + \nu\, (1 - x)\, \sigma_n^{-1} + \lambda\, (a + \nu)) \\ &= (\sigma_n - x\, \sigma_n^{-1}) + \lambda\, \frac{(\nu + a)}{(\nu + a x^{-1})}\, \kappa_n = (a\, x^{-1} - a^{-1})\, \frac{\sigma_n + a\, x}{\sigma_n + a\, x^{-1}}\ , \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where x is a spectral parameter and a is a solution of the equation $\lambda = a - a^{-1}$, i.e. $a = \pm q^{\pm 1}$. The last expression in (2.4) coincides with the form (1.5) of the baxterized element for the Hecke algebra. However, in the case of the BMW algebra, two different possible values of $a = \pm q^{\pm 1}$ lead to two different baxterized solutions.

We consider the affine algebra $\overline{BMW}_{M+1}$ with generators σ_n, κ_n, ($n = 1, \ldots, M$), y_n ($n = 1, \ldots, M+1$), c and $Q^{(k)}$ (k is a positive integer) subject to the relations (1.1), (1.7), (2.1) – (2.3) together with

$$\kappa_1 y_1 \sigma_1 y_1 \sigma_1 = c\, \kappa_1 = y_1 \sigma_1 y_1 \sigma_1\, \kappa_1\ , \quad (2.5)$$

$$\kappa_1 y_1^k \kappa_1 = Q^{(k)}\, \kappa_1\ . \quad (2.6)$$

The generators $Q^{(k)}$ and c are assumed to be central. We additionally suppose that the elements y_1 and c are invertible and moreover the element c has a square root in the algebra $\overline{BMW}_{M+1}$. It is natural to introduce elements $Q^{(k)}$ with $k \leq 0$, which satisfy the relation (2.6) as well; we have $Q^{(0)} = (\nu^{-1} + \lambda - \nu)/\lambda$; the elements $Q^{(k)}$ with $k < 0$ are expressed in terms of $Q^{(k)}$ with $k > 0$ by

$$Q^{(-n)} = \nu^2 \, c^{-n} \, Q^{(n)} + \lambda \, \nu \, \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \, c^{-j} \left(Q^{(2j-n)} - Q^{(j)} \, Q^{(j-n)} \right) \, . \tag{2.7}$$

A subalgebra generated by the elements σ_n and κ_n is the usual BMW algebra BMW_{M+1}. The abelian subalgebras which are generated by sets $\{c, Q^{(k)}, y_1\}$ and $\{c, Q^{(k)}\}$ can be denoted $\overline{BMW}_1$ and $\overline{BMW}_0$, respectively. Note that our definition of the affine BMW algebra is slightly different from the definition of the affine BMW algebra accepted in [18] and [8], where the central elements $Q^{(k)}$ and c are taken to be constants (see, however, the definition of a "degenerate affine Wenzl algebra" in [19]).

The affine Hecke algebra $\hat{H}_{M+1}$ is isomorphic to a subalgebra, generated by the elements σ_n and y_n, in the quotient of the affine BMW algebra $\overline{BMW}_{M+1}$ by an ideal generated by $\{\kappa_n\}$. Having this in mind, it is natural to look for a solution of the reflection equation (1.8) (for the BMW algebra case) in the form (1.12). However, contrary to the case of the affine Hecke algebra, the algebra $\overline{BMW}_{M+1}$ does not possess the automorphism $y_n \to \zeta y_n$ (see Remark 2 of the previous Section) because of the relations (2.5). So we expect that the parameter ξ cannot be a free parameter of the solution (1.12) and should be fixed in the BMW algebra case. Indeed, we have the following result.

Proposition 2. *For the affine BMW algebra a local unitary solution of the reflection equation (1.8) (with $\sigma_n(x)$ defined in (2.4)) is*

$$y_n(x) = f(x) \, \frac{y_n - \xi \, x}{y_n - \xi \, x^{-1}} \, , \tag{2.8}$$

where ξ is a central element in $\overline{BMW}_{M+1}$ fixed by $\xi^2 = -a \, c/\nu$ and $f(x)$ is a scalar function such that $f(x) f(x^{-1}) = 1$.

Proof. Formula (2.8) can be checked by quite lengthy brute force calculations. •

Without loss of generality we will consider the equation (1.8) and its solutions (2.8) for $n = 1$. In this case, it is sufficient to consider an affine subalgebra $\overline{BMW}_2$ with generators σ_1, κ_1, y_1, c and $Q^{(k)}$ $(k > 0)$. In addition to $\{c, Q^{(k)}\}$, the center of the algebra $\overline{BMW}_2$ contains elements $(y_1 y_2)$ and $f(y_1, y_2)(c - y_1 \, y_2)$, where $f(y_1, y_2)$ is any symmetric function of y_1 and $y_2 := \sigma_1 y_1 \sigma_1$.

One can use (2.8) to construct solutions for a cyclotomic affine BMW algebra when the generator y_1 satisfies a polynomial characteristic identity

(1.14). It can be done by the method which was explained in Remark 3 of the previous Section. Solutions are given by the same formula (1.16), where the parameter ξ should be fixed as in Proposition 2. It is worth noting that in the BMW case the parameters α_k in (1.14) are not all independent; they are related by conditions

$$Q^{(m+1-r)} + \sum_{k=0}^{m} \alpha_k Q^{(k-r)} = 0 \quad (r = 0, 1, \ldots, m+1) \ , \tag{2.9}$$

which follow from (1.14).

The solution (2.8) is not general. Below we present two exceptional solutions of (1.8) (for $n = 1$) for cyclotomic affine BMW algebras of orders 2 and 4. In general these solutions cannot be produced from (2.8). To simplify formulas, we omit all scalar factors which are needed for the unitarity of $y_1(x)$ and use a concise notation $y := y_1$, $y(x) := y_1(x)$.

(1) For the characteristic identity of degree 2,

$$y^2 + \alpha_1 \, y + \alpha_0 = 0 \ , \tag{2.10}$$

the compatibility conditions (2.9) imply relations between the elements $Q^{(k)}$, α_0 and α_1. First,

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{\lambda(c + \nu^2 \alpha_0)}{c(\nu^{-1} - \nu + \lambda)} Q^{(1)} \ . \tag{2.11}$$

Then we have two possibilities.

(1a) The element $Q^{(1)}$ is free while the values of the parameters α_0 and α_1 become fixed:

$$\alpha_0 = -\frac{c}{a\,\nu} \ , \quad \alpha_1 = -\frac{Q^{(1)}\,\nu\,\lambda}{a\nu + 1} \ . \tag{2.12}$$

Due to the characteristic identity, the elements $Q^{(k)}$ with $k > 1$ can be expressed in terms of $Q^{(1)}$.

We have in this case the same solution as in (1.17):

$$y(x) = y + \tfrac{\alpha_1 \, x + A}{x - x^{-1}} \ , \tag{2.13}$$

where A is an arbitrary constant.

Here the value of the parameter a ($a = q$ or $a = -q^{-1}$) should be the same as in the definition of the baxterized element (2.4) which enters the reflection equation (1.8). The special case of (2.13) with $A = -\frac{c}{\xi\nu}(a + 1/a)$, ($\xi^2 = -a\,c/\nu$), can be produced from the rational solution (2.8). Another special example of (2.13) was presented in [8].

(1b) The values of the elements $Q^{(k)}$ are fixed,

$$Q^{(k)} = \frac{c^{k/2}}{\nu^k} \, Q^{(0)} \ . \tag{2.14}$$

In this case the parameter α_0 is free, the characteristic polynomial for y factorizes, $(y - c^{1/2}/\nu)(y - \alpha_0\nu/c^{1/2}) = 0$, and the solution (2.8) produces the solution (2.13) with $A = \xi + \alpha_0/\xi$.

(2) For the characteristic identity of degree 4,

$$y^4 + \alpha_3\, y^3 + \alpha_2\, y^2 + \alpha_1\, y + \alpha_0 = 0\ , \tag{2.15}$$

the formula

$$y(x) = \bar{\alpha}_0\, y + \frac{\alpha_3\, \bar{\alpha}_0\, x + \alpha_1}{(x - x^{-1})} - x\, \alpha_0\, \frac{1}{y}\ , \tag{2.16}$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_0^2 = \alpha_0$, defines a solution of the reflection equation if

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_0 = -\frac{c^2}{a\,\nu}\ ,\quad \alpha_1 = -\frac{c}{a}\left(\frac{\alpha_3}{\nu} + \lambda Q^{(1)}\right)\ , \\ &\alpha_2 = -\frac{\nu\lambda}{a\nu+1}\left(Q^{(1)}\,\alpha_3 + Q^{(2)}\right) + \frac{\lambda c}{a}\ , \\ &\alpha_3 = -\frac{Q^{(3)} - \frac{\nu\lambda Q^{(1)}Q^{(2)}}{a\nu+1} - \frac{c}{\nu a}\,Q^{(1)}}{\left(Q^{(2)} - \frac{\nu\lambda (Q^{(1)})^2}{(a\nu+1)} - \frac{c}{\nu a}\,Q^{(0)}\right)}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

(here $Q^{(0)} = (1/\nu + \lambda - \nu)/\lambda$). The choice of the parameters α_i in (2.17) is consistent with the constraints (2.9).

The solution (2.16) has the form of the special *small* solution of the reflection equation in the Hecke algebra case (see [7]).

Remark 6. The R-matrix representation of the affine BMW algebra is defined by eqs. (1.19)–(1.23) and the corresponding matrix versions of relations (2.1)–(2.6). As for the Hecke algebra case, one can define a set of antisymmetrizers for the BMW algebra [20], [17], [21]

$$A_{1\to 1} = 1\ ,\quad A_{1\to n+1} = A_{1\to n}\ \widetilde{\sigma}_n(a^{2n}; a)\ A_{1\to n} \qquad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)\ , \tag{2.18}$$

where the unitary element $\widetilde{\sigma}_n(x; a)$ is given by (1.6) with the BMW baxterized element (2.4) and $a = q$ (for $a = -q^{-1}$ eqs.(2.18) define the set of symmetrizers). If the BMW type R-matrix (additional information about the BMW type R-matrices can be found in [14], [17], [23]) is skew invertible, $\rho_R(A_{1\to m+1}) = 0$ and $\rho_R(A_{1\to m}) \neq 0$, then the corresponding quantum matrix L of the reflection equation algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (1.21) satisfies the characteristic identity of order m whose coefficients are central in $\mathcal{A}$ [20] (see also [22]). In this case, for the solutions of the reflection equation (1.8), one can use (2.13), (2.16) and the polynomial solutions of the type (1.16), where $\xi^2 = -ac/\nu$ and y_1 is the generator of the cyclotomic affine BMW algebra.

3 Relations to integrable spin chain models

Consider the reflection equation (1.8) in the generic case when $\sigma_n(x)$ is a baxterized element of the group algebra of $\mathcal{B}_{M+1}$ (or its quotients). In this case, we have the following statement:

Proposition 3. *Let $y_1(x)$ be a local (i.e., $[y_1(x), \sigma_m] = 0\ \forall m > 1$) solution of (1.8) for $n = 1$. Then*

$$\bar{y}_n(x) = \sigma_{n-1}(x) \cdots \sigma_1(x)\, y_1(x)\, \sigma_1(x) \cdots \sigma_{n-1}(x)\ , \tag{3.1}$$

is a (non-local) solution of the reflection equation (1.8) for $n > 1$.

Proof. We prove (3.1) by induction. The element in the right hand side of eq.(3.1) commutes with σ_m for $m > n$. Let $\bar{y}_{k-1}(x)$ be a solution of (1.8) for $n = k-1$ and $[\bar{y}_{k-1}(x), \sigma_m] = 0$ $(m > k-1)$. Then, using (1.4) and (1.8) with $n = k-1$, we obtain that

$$\bar{y}_k(x) = \sigma_{k-1}(x)\, \bar{y}_{k-1}(x)\, \sigma_{k-1}(x)\ , \tag{3.2}$$

solves (1.8) for $n = k$. •

Consider a direct product: $\hat{\hat{H}}_{M+1} = \hat{H}_{M+1} \otimes \hat{\hat{H}}_0$ of the affine Hecke algebra $\hat{H}_{M+1}$ and an abelian algebra $\hat{\hat{H}}_0$ generated by commutative elements $Q_D^{(k)}$ $(k \in \mathbf{Z})$. The algebras $\hat{\hat{H}}_{M+1}$ admit a chain of inclusions

$$\hat{\hat{H}}_0 \subset \hat{\hat{H}}_1 \subset \hat{\hat{H}}_2 \subset \ldots \hat{\hat{H}}_M \subset \hat{\hat{H}}_{M+1}$$

defined on the generators as

$$\hat{\hat{H}}_n \ni (Q_D^{(k)}, y_1, \sigma_i) \longrightarrow (Q_D^{(k)}, y_1, \sigma_i) \in \hat{\hat{H}}_{n+1} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n-1)\ ;$$

the algebra $\hat{\hat{H}}_1$ is generated by elements $(Q_D^{(k)}, y_1)$. We equip the algebra $\hat{\hat{H}}_{M+1}$ with linear mappings $Tr_{D(n+1)}$: $\hat{\hat{H}}_{n+1} \to \hat{\hat{H}}_n$ (from the algebra $\hat{\hat{H}}_{n+1}$ to its subalgebra $\hat{\hat{H}}_n$) such that $\forall X, Y \in \hat{\hat{H}}_n$ and $\forall Z \in \hat{\hat{H}}_{n+1}$ we have

$$\begin{gathered} Tr_{D(n+1)}(X\, Z\, Y) = X\, Tr_{D(n+1)}(Z)\, Y, \\ Tr_{D(n+1)}(\sigma_n^{\pm 1} X \sigma_n^{\mp 1}) = Tr_{D(n)}(X)\,, \\ Tr_{D(n+1)}(1) = D_n^{(0)}\ , \quad Tr_{D(n+1)}(\sigma_n^{\pm 1}) = D_n^{(\pm 1)}\ , \\ Tr_{D(1)}(y_1^k) = Q_D^{(k)}\ , \ \ Tr_{D(n)} Tr_{D(n+1)}(\sigma_n Z) = Tr_{D(n)} Tr_{D(n+1)}(Z \sigma_n)\ , \end{gathered} \tag{3.3}$$

where $D_n^{(m)} \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ are constants subject to the relation $D_n^{(+1)} = D_n^{(-1)} + \lambda D_n^{(0)}$ which follows from the Hecke condition (1.2). In the R-matrix representation of $\hat{\hat{H}}_{M+1}$ (1.19) – (1.23) the maps $Tr_{D(n+1)}$ (when $D_n^{(m)}$ do not depend on n) are nothing but a quantum trace.

Proposition 4. *The following identity (cross-unitarity) holds for the baxterized element $\sigma_n(x)$ (1.3):*

$$Tr_{D(n+1)}\left(\sigma_n(x)\, Y_n\, \sigma_n(b_n/x)\right) = \eta(x)\,\eta(b_n/x)\, Tr_{D(n)}(Y_n) \quad (\forall\, Y_n \in \hat{\hat{H}}_n)\ , \quad (3.4)$$

where $\eta(x) := (1-x)$, $b_n := D_n^{(+1)}/D_n^{(-1)}$.

Proof. Using the definition (3.3) of the map $Tr_{D(n+1)}$ and the explicit form of the baxterized element (1.3) we obtain for the left-hand side of (3.4)

$$Tr_{D(n+1)}\left(((1-x)\sigma_n + \lambda x)\ Y_n\ ((1-b_n\, x^{-1})\sigma_n^{-1} + \lambda)\right)$$

$$= (1-x)(1-b_n\, x^{-1}) Tr_{D(n+1)}\left(\sigma_n Y_n \sigma_n^{-1}\right) + \lambda\left(D_n^{(+1)} - b_n\, D_n^{(-1)}\right) Y_n\ ,$$

which is equivalent to (3.4). •

Proposition 5. *Let an element $\bar{y}_n(x) \in \hat{\hat{H}}_n$ be a solution of (1.8) (e.g., the solution defined in (3.1)). Then elements $\tau(x) \in \hat{\hat{H}}_{n-1}$*

$$\tau(x) = Tr_{D(n)}\left(\bar{y}_n(x)\right)\ , \quad (3.5)$$

form a commutative family, $[\tau(x),\, \tau(z)] = 0$ $(\forall x, z)$.

Proof. Using properties (3.3), the identity (3.4) and the reflection equation (1.8) we deduce

$$\tau(x)\,\tau(z) = Tr_{D(n)}\left(\bar{y}_n(x)\,\tau(z)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta_n(xz)} Tr_{D(n,n+1)}\left(\bar{y}_n(x)\sigma_n(xz)\,\bar{y}_n(z)\,\sigma_n(b_n/(xz))\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta_n(xz)} Tr_{D(n,n+1)}\left(\sigma_n^{-1}(x/z)\bar{y}_n(z)\sigma_n(xz)\,\bar{y}_n(x)\,\sigma_n(b_n/(xz))\sigma_n(x/z)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta_n(xz)} Tr_{D(n,n+1)}\left(\bar{y}_n(z)\sigma_n(xz)\,\bar{y}_n(x)\,\sigma_n(b_n/(xz))\right) = \tau(z)\,\tau(x)\ ,$$

where $Tr_{D(n,n+1)} := Tr_{D(n)} Tr_{D(n+1)}$ and $\eta_n(x) = \eta(x)\eta(b_n/x)$. This proves the commutativity of the family $\tau(x)$. •

In the R-matrix representation (1.19) – (1.23), the element $\tau(x)$ (with $\bar{y}_n(x)$ taken in the form (3.1)) is nothing but an example of a Sklyanin monodromy matrix [3] in the case when the algebra $\mathcal{T}_+$ from [3] is realized trivially, $\mathcal{T}_+ = 1$. Thus, the element $\tau(x)$ can be used for a formulation of integrable chain models with nontrivial boundary conditions on one end of the chain. We consider two possibilities for the solution $y_1(x)$ in (3.1): (1) $y_1^{(1)}(x) = 1$ and (2) $y_1^{(2)}(x) = (y_1 - \xi x)(y_1 - \xi/x)^{-1}$ (see (1.12)). In these cases the corresponding monodromy elements and local Hamiltonians belong to the affine Hecke algebra $\hat{H}_{n-1}$:

$$\tau^{(1)}(x) = Tr_{D(n)}\left(\sigma_{n-1}(x)\cdots\sigma_2(x)\,\sigma_1^2(x)\,\sigma_2(x)\cdots\sigma_{n-1}(x)\right)\ , \quad (3.6)$$

$$\tau^{(2)}(x) = Tr_{D(n)}\left(\sigma_{n-1}(x)\cdots\sigma_1(x)\left(\frac{y_1-\xi x}{y_1-\xi/x}\right)\sigma_1(x)\cdots\sigma_{n-1}(x)\right)\ , \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{(1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2}\sigma_m + const\ , \quad \mathcal{H}^{(2)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2}\sigma_m + \frac{\lambda\xi}{y_1-\xi} + const\ . \quad (3.8)$$

The Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$ (resp., $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$) are obtained by differentiating $\tau^{(1)}(x)$ (resp., $\tau^{(2)}(x)$) with respect to x at the point $x=1$. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$, obtained for the choice $y_1(x)=1$ (which corresponds to free boundary conditions at both ends of the chain), is known. The polynomial analogues of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ in the cyclotomic case (which corresponds to a nontrivial boundary condition on one end of the chain) can be deduced in a straightforward manner, as in Remark 3 in Section 1.

To formulate integrable chain systems with nontrivial boundary conditions on both ends of the chain, we need to introduce a "conjugated" reflection equation

$$\sigma_n(x/z)\,\widetilde{y}_n(z)\,\sigma_n\left(b_n/(xz)\right)\,\widetilde{y}_n(x) = \widetilde{y}_n(x)\,\sigma_n(b_n/(xz))\,\widetilde{y}_n(z)\,\sigma_n(x/z)\ . \quad (3.9)$$

We note that solutions of (3.9) are related to the solutions of the reflection equation (1.8) by means of identities

$$\widetilde{y}_n(x) = y_n\left(\frac{b_n^{1/2}}{x}\right) \quad \text{or} \quad \widetilde{y}_n(x) = y_n^{-1}\left(\frac{x}{b_n^{1/2}}\right)\ . \quad (3.10)$$

Now we consider an R-matrix representation ρ_R of the algebra $\hat{\hat{H}}_{M+1}$. The homomorphism ρ_R is described in (1.19) – (1.23) and we also have $\rho_R(Q_D^{(k)}) = Tr_{D(1)}(L_1^k)$, where Tr_D is a standard quantum trace defined for any skew-invertible R-matrix [17], [24]. More precisely, let F be the skew inverse of $\hat{R}$,

$$Tr_2(F_{12}\hat{R}_{23}) = P_{13} = Tr_2(\hat{R}_{12}F_{23})\ .$$

Define the operator $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := Tr_2(F_{12})\ .$$

Then

$$Tr_{\mathcal{D}(n)}(E) := Tr_n(\mathcal{D}_n\,E)\ , \quad \forall\, E \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes(M+1)})\ .$$

Here $Tr_n = Tr_{V_n}$ is a usual trace in the copy number n of the space V in the product $V^{\otimes(M+1)}$. For the Hecke type R-matrices, this definition of the quantum trace implies that the parameters $D_n^{(\pm1)}$ from (3.3) and $b_n = D_n^{(+1)}/D_n^{(-1)}$ do not depend on n and are equal to

$$D_n^{(+1)} = 1\ , \quad D_n^{(-1)} = 1-\lambda Tr(\mathcal{D})\ , \quad b_n = b := (1-\lambda Tr(\mathcal{D}))^{-1}\ .$$

In a particular example of the standard R-matrix of the $GL_q(n|m)$-type we have $b = q^{2(n-m)}$.

The R-matrix version of the reflection equation (1.8) is

$$\hat{R}_n(x/z)\, K_n(x)\, \hat{R}_n(xz)\, K_n(z) = K_n(z)\, \hat{R}_n(xz)\, K_n(x)\, \hat{R}_n(x/z)\ , \qquad (3.11)$$

where $\hat{R}_n(x) := \rho_R(\sigma_n(x))$ and $K_n(x) := \rho_R(y_n(x))$.

Let $\widetilde{K}(x)$ be a $(N \times N)$ matrix whose entries are scalar functions of the spectral parameter x:

$$[\widetilde{K}^{i_1}_{j_1}(x), \widetilde{K}^{i_2}_{j_2}(z)] = 0 \quad (\forall i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 = 1, \ldots, N)\ . \qquad (3.12)$$

Assume that the matrix $\widetilde{K}(x)$ is a solution of the conjugated reflection equation (3.9) written in the R-matrix representation

$$\hat{R}_n(x/z)\widetilde{K}_n(z)\hat{R}_n\left(b/(xz)\right)\widetilde{K}_n(x) = \widetilde{K}_n(x)\hat{R}_n(b/(xz))\widetilde{K}_n(z)\hat{R}_n(x/z)\ , \quad (3.13)$$

where $\widetilde{K}_n(z)$ is taken in the local form

$$\widetilde{K}_n(z) = I^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \widetilde{K}(z) \otimes I^{\otimes(M+1-n)}\ , \qquad (3.14)$$

(we call $\widetilde{K}_n(z)$ local since it acts nontrivially only in the factor V_n in $V^{\otimes(M+1)}$). We have (cf. Theorem 1 in [3]):

Proposition 6. *Let $K_n(x)$ be a solution of (3.11) which acts nontrivially only in the first n factors of $V^{\otimes(M+1)}$. Assume that the matrix $\widetilde{K}_n(x)$ is a local scalar solution (3.12), (3.14) of the conjugated reflection equation (3.13) and all entries of $\widetilde{K}(x)$ commute with all entries of $K_n(z)$. Then the elements $t(x)$ defined by*

$$t(x) = Tr_{D(n)}\left(K_n(x)\, \widetilde{K}_n(x)\right) \qquad (3.15)$$

form a commutative family, $[t(x),\, t(z)] = 0$ $(\forall x, z)$.

Proof. Using properties of the quantum trace which follow from the definition of the mapping (3.3) and the R-matrix version of the identity (3.4) we obtain

$$t(x)\, t(z) = Tr_{D(n)}\left(K_n(x)\ \left(Tr_{D(n)}(K_n(z)\, \widetilde{K}_n(z))\right) \widetilde{K}_n(x)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\eta'(x\, z)}\, Tr_{D(n)} Tr_{D(n+1)}\left(K_n(x)\, \hat{R}_n(x\, z)\, K_n(z)\, \widetilde{K}_n(z)\, \hat{R}_n\left(b/(xz)\right)\, \widetilde{K}_n(x)\right)\ ,$$

where $\eta'(xz) = \eta(xz)\eta(b/(xz))$. Now we apply the reflection equations (3.11) and (3.13) and again use the properties (3.3) and the identity (3.4)) to rewrite the last expression in the form

$$= \frac{1}{\eta'(x\, z)}\, Tr_{D(n)} Tr_{D(n+1)}\left(K_n(z)\, \hat{R}_n(x\, z)\, K_n(x)\, \widetilde{K}_n(x)\, \hat{R}_n\left(b/(xz)\right)\, \widetilde{K}_n(z)\right)$$

$$= Tr_{D(n)}\left(K_n(z)\ \left(Tr_{D(n)}(K_n(x)\, \widetilde{K}_n(x))\right) \widetilde{K}_n(z)\right) = t(z)\, t(x)\ .$$

The proof is finished. •

Let $K_n(x)$ in (3.15) be the image of the element $\bar{y}_n(x)$ (3.1) in the R-matrix representation, $K_n(x) = \rho_R(\bar{y}_n(x))$. With this choice we obtain the commutative family $t(x) \in \mathrm{End}(V^{\otimes(n-1)})$:

$$t(x) = Tr_{D(n)} \left(\hat{R}_{n-1}(x) \cdots \hat{R}_1(x)\, K_1(x)\, \hat{R}_1(x) \cdots \hat{R}_{n-1}(x)\, \widetilde{K}_n(x) \right) , \quad (3.16)$$

where $K_1(x)$ is any local solution of (3.11) for $n = 1$. The element $t(x)$ (3.16) is an example of the Sklyanin monodromy matrix [3] in the case of a commutative representation $\widetilde{K}(x)$ of the algebra $\mathcal{T}_+$ [3]. We see that in this case (which is rather general from the point of view of applications) the proof of the commutativity of $t(x)$ simplifies.

As usual (see [3]) one can consider the element $t(x)$ (3.16) as a generating function for integrals of motion of an integrable open chain model of length $(n-1)$. If we take in (3.16) the regular solution $K_1(x)$ (i.e. $K_1(1) = 1$) of (3.11) and take into account the relation $\hat{R}(1) = \lambda$, we obtain a local Hamiltonian of the chain model with nontrivial boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{H}^{(0)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \hat{R}_m - \frac{\lambda}{2}\, K_1'(1) + \frac{Tr_{D(n)}(\hat{R}_{n-1}\, \widetilde{K}_n(1))}{Tr_{D(n)}(\widetilde{K}_n(1))} . \quad (3.17)$$

This Hamiltonian is obtained by differentiating $t(x)$ with respect to x at the point $x = 1$. Consider the regular solution $K_1(x)$ of the type (1.12),

$$K_1(x) = \rho_R(y_1(x)) = \frac{L_1 - \xi_1 x}{L_1 - \xi_1 x^{-1}} , \quad (\hat{R}_1 L_1 \hat{R}_1 L_1 = L_1 \hat{R}_1 L_1 \hat{R}_1) ,$$

where ξ_1 is a constant. There are two local solutions $\widetilde{K}_n(x)$ of (3.13) which can be used in (3.16) and (3.17)

$$1) \quad \widetilde{K}_n(x) = 1 , \quad 2) \quad \widetilde{K}_n(x) = \frac{\widetilde{L}_n - \xi_2\, b^{1/2}\, x^{-1}}{\widetilde{L}_n - \xi_2\, b^{-1/2}\, x} . \quad (3.18)$$

Here in the case 2) the matrix $\widetilde{K}_n(x)$ is chosen according to the equations (3.10) and (1.12), where $\widetilde{L}_n = \rho_R(y_n)$ is a scalar and local (see (3.12) and (3.14)) solution of the constant reflection equation $\hat{R}_n \widetilde{L}_n \hat{R}_n \widetilde{L}_n = \widetilde{L}_n \hat{R}_n \widetilde{L}_n \hat{R}_n$.

In the first case of (3.18) the monodromy matrix (3.16) and a local Hamiltonian of the corresponding integrable chain model are given by "R-matrix images" of the elements (3.6), (3.7), (3.8). In the second case of (3.18) we obtain an integrable chain model (with nontrivial boundary conditions for both ends of the chain) with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}^{(3)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \hat{R}_m + \frac{\lambda \xi_1}{L_1 - \xi_1} + \frac{1}{\xi'}\, Tr_{D(n)} \left(\hat{R}_{n-1}\, \frac{\widetilde{L}_n - \xi_2\, b^{1/2}}{\widetilde{L}_n - \xi_2\, b^{-1/2}} \right) , \quad (3.19)$$

where $\xi' := Tr_D \left(\frac{\widetilde{L} - \xi_2\, b^{1/2}}{\widetilde{L} - \xi_2\, b^{-1/2}} \right)$ is a parameter depending on the choice of the matrix $\widetilde{L}$. The polynomial analogues of the Hamiltonian (3.19) in the cyclotomic case, when the matrices L_1 and $\widetilde{L}_n$ satisfy the polynomial characteristic

identities of the type (1.14), can be obtained straightforwardly, as in Remark 3 in Section 1.

One can generalize the above construction to the case of the BMW algebra. We do not present here the detailed description of this construction. The analogue of Proposition 4 reads

Proposition 7. *The following identity (cross-unitarity) holds for the BMW baxterized element $\sigma_n(x)$ (2.4)*

$$Tr_{D(n+1)}\left(\sigma_n(x)\; Y_n\; \sigma_n(z)\right) = \eta(x)\,\eta(z)\, Tr_{D(n)}(Y_n) \quad (\forall\, Y_n \in \overline{BMW}_n)\;, \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\eta(x) := (1-x)\,\frac{(a\nu x+1)}{(\nu x+a)}\;, \quad x\,z = \frac{a^2}{\nu^2}\;,$$

and the map $Tr_{D(n)}$: $\overline{BMW}_n \to \overline{BMW}_{n-1}$ is defined as follows:

$$\kappa_n Y_n \kappa_n = \frac{1}{\nu}\, Tr_{D(n)}(Y_n)\,\kappa_n\;.$$

The proof of (3.20) consists in a direct but rather lengthy calculation which we omit. The conjugated reflection equation for the BMW algebra is given by (3.9) with $b_n = a^2/\nu^2$. The elements $\sigma_n(x)$ are the baxterized elements (2.4). The commutative BMW monodromy elements are given by the same formulas (3.5) and (3.16), where $\bar{y}_n(x)$ is defined in (3.1) with the baxterized elements $\sigma_n(x)$ (2.4); $R_n(x)$ are the R-matrix images of (2.4); $K(x)$ and $\widetilde{K}(x)$ are the corresponding solutions of (3.11) and (3.13). The formulas for the commutative monodromy elements (3.6) and (3.7) (with $\xi^2 = -a\,c/\nu$) are valid in the BMW case as well.

We present the analogues of the Hamiltonians (3.8), (3.17) and (3.19) in the case of the BMW algebra. The analogue of $\mathcal{H}^{(2)}$ (3.8) is

$$\mathcal{H}^{(4)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2}\left(\sigma_m + \frac{\lambda\nu}{\nu+a}\kappa_m\right) + \frac{\lambda\xi}{y_1-\xi} + const\;, \quad (3.21)$$

where σ_m, κ_m, y_1 are generators of the affine BMW algebra, $\xi^2 = -a\,c/\nu$ as in Proposition 2 and the parameter a takes two values $\pm q^{\pm 1}$. In the case $y_1 = 1$ we obtain the Hamiltonian (the BMW analogue of $\mathcal{H}^{(1)}$)

$$\mathcal{H}^{(5)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2}\left(\sigma_m + \frac{\lambda\nu}{\nu+a}\kappa_m\right) + const \quad (3.22)$$

for the integrable chain models with trivial boundary conditions. The same Hamiltonian (3.22) defines an integrable system on a periodic chain if we identify $\sigma_k = \sigma_{n-2+k}$ [17].

The analogue of (3.17) is

$$\mathcal{H}^{(6)} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \left(\hat{R}_m + \frac{\lambda\nu}{\nu+a} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_m \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2}\, K_1'(1) + \frac{Tr_{D(n)}((\hat{R}_{n-1} + \frac{\lambda\nu}{\nu+a}\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n-1})\widetilde{K}_n(1))}{Tr_{D(n)}(\widetilde{K}_n(1))} \,, \tag{3.23}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{K}}_m = \rho_R(\kappa_m)$ and we have two different integrable systems for $a = \pm q^{\pm 1}$. One can use the solutions (2.8), (2.13) and (2.16) in (3.23). In particular, one can substitute:

$$K_1(x) = \frac{L_1 - \xi\, x}{L_1 - \xi\, x^{-1}} \,, \quad \widetilde{K}_n(x) = \frac{\widetilde{L}_n - \xi\, b^{1/2}\, x^{-1}}{\widetilde{L}_n - \xi\, b^{-1/2}\, x} \,,$$

where $\xi^2 = -a\, c/\nu$, $b = \pm a/\nu$. As a result we deduce the BMW analogue of (3.19)

$$\mathcal{H}_7 = \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \left(\hat{R}_m + \frac{\lambda\nu\hat{\mathcal{K}}_m}{\nu+a} \right) + \frac{\lambda\xi}{L_1 - \xi} + \frac{1}{\xi''} Tr_{D(n)} \left(\left(\hat{R}_{n-1} + \frac{\lambda\nu\hat{\mathcal{K}}_{n-1}}{\nu+a} \right) \widetilde{K}_n(1) \right),$$

where $\xi'' = Tr_{D(n)}(\widetilde{K}_n(1))$ and $\widetilde{K}_n(1) = (\widetilde{L}_n - \xi\, b^{1/2})(\widetilde{L}_n - \xi\, b^{-1/2})^{-1}$.

Remark 7. We expect that any representation of the affine Hecke or BMW algebras will give integrable chain models with the Hamiltonians (3.8) or (3.21) and (3.22), respectively. In particular, one can use in (3.21), (3.22) the $SO_q(N)$, $Sp_q(2m)$ and $OSp_q(N|2m)$ R-matrix representations of the algebra BMW_{M+1} (see, e.g., [17]) or $\overline{BMW}_{M+1}$ to formulate integrable spin chain models of SO, Sp or OSp types. In these cases, the parameter ν is fixed as follows: $\nu = q^{1-N}$ for $SO(N)$-type, $\nu = -q^{-1-2m}$ for $Sp(2m)$-type [14], [20] and $\nu = q^{1+2m-N}$ for $OSp(N|2m)$-type [17] (there is also a special case $\nu = -q^{-1+2m-2n}$ which corresponds to $Osp_q(2m|2n)$ [17]). The Yangian limits of the corresponding R-matrices lead to the consideration of SO, Sp [25] or OSp [5] invariant spin chain models. These Yangian models are generalizations of the XXX Heisenberg models of magnets.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to P.P. Kulish for useful discussions and the information about the results presented in [7]. We thank P.N. Pyatov for useful comments. A.P.I. thanks the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, where part of this work was done, for their kind hospitality and support. This work was also supported by grants INTAS 03-51-3350 and RFBR 05-01-01086-a and the ANR project GIMP No. ANR-05-BLAN-0029-01.

References

[1] I.V. Cherednik, Factorizing Particles On A Half Line And Root Systems, Theor. Math. Phys. 61 (1984) 977 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. 61 (1984) 35].

[2] S. Ghoshal and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Boundary S Matrix And Boundary State In Two-Dimensional Integrable Quantum Field Theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A9 (1994) 3841, hep-th/9306002.

[3] E.K. Sklyanin, Boundary Conditions For Integrable Quantum Systems, J. Phys. A 21 (1988) 2375.

[4] L. Mezincescu, R.I. Nepomechie, Integrable Open Spin Chains With Nonsymmetric R Matrices, J. Phys. A24 (1991) L17;
P.P. Kulish and E.K. Sklyanin, Algebraic structures related to the reflection equations, J. Phys. A 25 (1992) 5963;
P.P. Kulish, R. Sasaki and C. Schweibert, Constant solutions of reflection equation and quantum groups, J. Math. Phys. **34** (1993) 286, hep-th/9205039;
H. Frahm and N. Slavnov, New solutions to the reflection equation and the projecting method, J. Phys. A **32** (1999) 1547;
N. J. MacKay and B. J. Short, Boundary scattering, symmetric spaces and the principal chiral model on the half-line, Commun. Math. Phys. **233** (2003) 313, hep-th/0104212;
R. I. Nepomechie, Boundary quantum group generators of type A, Lett. Math. Phys. **62** (2002) 83, hep-th/0204181;
D. Arnaudon et al., General boundary conditions for the $sl(N)$ and $sl(M|N)$ open spin chains, math-ph/0406021.

[5] D. Arnaudon et al., Bethe Ansatz equations and exact S matrices for the $osp(M|2n)$ open super spin chain, Nucl. Phys. B **687** (2004) 257, math-ph/0310042.

[6] D. Levi and P. Martin, Hecke algebra solutions to the reflection equation, J. Phys. A 27 (1994) L521-L526;
A. Doikou, From affine Hecke algebras to boundary symmetries, Nucl.Phys. B **725** (2005) 493, math-ph/0409060;
H.-C. Fu, M.L. Ge and K. Xue, Yang-Baxterization of reflection equation for R with two different eigenvalues, J. Phys. A **26** (1993) L847; Yang-Baxterization of reflection equation and general K-matrices of A_{n-1} vertex models, J. Phys. A **27** (1994) 4457.

[7] P.P.Kulish and A.I.Mudrov, Baxterization of solutions to reflection equation with Hecke R-matrix, math.QA/0508289.

[8] R. Häring-Oldenburg, New solutions of reflection equation derived from type B BMW algebras, J.Phys. A **29** (1996) 5945.

[9] M. Jimbo, A q-analogue of $U_q(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986) 247.

[10] V.F.R. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Annals of Mathematics **126** (1987) 335;
H. Wenzl, Hecke algebras of type A_n and subfactors, Invent. Math. **92** (1988) 349.

[11] V. Chari and A. Pressley, A guide to quantum groups, Cambrige Univ. Press (1994).

[12] A. Isaev, O. Ogievetsky, P. Pyatov and P. Saponov, Characteristic Polynomials for Quantum Matrices, Lecture Notes in Physics; Vol. 524, Springer (1999), p. 322.

[13] P.N. Pyatov and P.A. Saponov, Characteristic Relations for Quantum Matrices, J.Phys. A; Math. Gen. 28 (1995) 4415, q-alg/9502012;
D.I. Gurevich, P.N. Pyatov and P.A. Saponov, Hecke Symmetries and Characteristic Relations on Reflection Equation Algebras, Lett. Math. Phys., 41 (1997) 255, q-alg/9605048.

[14] L.D. Faddeev, N.Yu. Reshetikhin, and L.A. Takhtajan, Quantization Of Lie Groups And Lie Algebras, Lengingrad Math. J. 1 (1990) 193.

[15] H. Wenzl, Quantum Groups and Subfactors of Type B, C and D, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990) 383-432.

[16] V.F.R. Jones, On a Certain Value of the Kauffman Polynomial, Comm.Math.Phys. **125** (1989) 459;
J. Murakami, Solvable Lattice Models and algebras of Face Operators, Adv. Studies in Pure Math. **19** (1989) 399;
Y. Cheng, M. L. Ge and K. Xue, Yang-Baxterization of braid group representations, Comm. Math. Phys. **136**, no. 1 (1991), 195-208.

[17] A.P. Isaev, Quantum groups and Yang-Baxter equations, preprint MPIM (Bonn), MPI 2004-132,
(http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/html/preprints/preprints.html); previous version of this review is published in Sov. J. Part. Nucl. **26** (1995) 501 (Fiz. Elem. Chastits i At. Yadra **26** (1995) 1204).

[18] R. Orellana and A. Ram, Affine braids, Markov traces and the category $\mathcal{O}$, math.RT/0401317.

[19] M. Nazarov, Young's orthogonal form for Brauer's Centralizer Algebra, Journ. of Algebra **182** (1996) 664.

[20] O.V.Ogievetsky and P.N.Pyatov, Cayley-Hamilton theorem for orthogonal and symplectic quantum matrix algebras, Preprint MPI (Bonn) MPIM 2005-53
(http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/html/preprints/preprints.html).

[21] I. Tuba and H. Wenzl, On braided tensor categories of type BCD, J. Reine Angew. Math. 581 (2005) 31; math.QA/0301142.

[22] A. Mudrov, Quantum conjugacy classes of simple matrix groups, math.QA/0412538.

[23] A.P. Isaev, O.V. Ogievetsky and P.N. Pyatov, On R- matrix representations of Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras, Proc. of Steklov Math. Inst. **246** (2004) 147-153; math.QA/0509251.

[24] O. Ogievetsky, Uses of Quantum Spaces, Lectures presented at the School "Quantum Symmetries in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics", Bariloche (2000), Contemporary Mathematics, 294 (2002) 161-231.

[25] N.Yu. Reshetikhin, Integrable Models Of Quantum One-Dimensional Magnets With O(N) And Sp(2k) Symmetry, Theor. Math. Phys. 63 (1985) 555 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. 63 (1985) 347].